Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa., ENGRAVER'S DEPARTMENT,

22 nd July, 1884. Referring to a medal to be struck in commemoration of the adoption of the constitution I would respectfully say. It is impossible to execute a medal in time for the celebration, I now have in hand two medals and two niders all to be finished by September 1th for the War Defratment, this work alone will occupy all the time of can possible spare from the negular work of the loining dies, and infact if there is much delay in giving me Steam power I will be unable to finish the dies in the time specified. This work for the War Department Despected to be free of before this, but there was somuel Melan in approving

designs and furnishing photographs, having waited from May 10th to June gthy that it Now comes whow at a season most inopportune as I must shortly commence to prepare dies for the Fall orders from the other Mints, also have to prepare all date Jennohes for the Salendar Year 1888 which will be required for the A all orders. If there is no need of this means being finished by the time of the celebration of dem of course put it in hand and shall be most willing to engrave the this if it is according to lun, but at this time when we are trying to followlaw and not precedent would it not be well to look at the law before thinking of a medal, hooking at the law it appears to me we have no authority to make modals and call them national, the mere fact that a National subject is treated does not make

them National, to be National the authority Should come from the National Government, Doubs suggest this thought leaving always your good fudgement to decide Mours respectfully Chus Sarber Ongraver Mont Samiel Mistoy Superintendent

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa., Superintendent's Office,

> Guly 22<sup>nd</sup>, 1887. Chas E. Barber

Superintendent.

Relative to a medal to be struck in commemoration of the adoption of the bonstitution, says. it is impossible to execute a medal in time for the celebration, also suggests looking at the law as there is no authority to make medals + call them national unless the authority comer from the nath bort.

No. of Enclosures,

金达分,

[Abstract:] Relative to a medal to be struck in commemoration of the adoption of the Constitution...

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa., Engraver's Department, July 22, 1887

Sir

Referring to a medal to be struck in commemoration of the adoption of the constitution I would respectfully say, It is impossible to execute a medal in time for the celebration. I now have in hand two medals and two riders all to be finished by September 1st for the War Department, this work alone will occupy all the time I can possibly spare from the regular work of the coining dies, and in fact if there is much delay in giving me steam power I will be unable to finish the dies in the time specified. This work for the War Department I expected to be free of before this, but there was so much delay in approving designs and furnishing photographs, I having waited from May 10th to June 8th that it now comes upon me at a season most inopportune as I must shortly commence to prepare dies for the Fall orders from the other Mints, I also have to prepare all date punches for the Calendar Year 1888 which will be required for the Fall orders.

If there is no need of this medal being finished by the time of the celebration I am of course put it in hand and shall be most willing to engraver the dies if it is according to law, but at this time when we are trying to follow law and not precedent would it not be well to look at the law before thinking of a medal, looking at the law it appears to me we have no authority to make medals and call them National. The mere fact that a National subject is treated does not make them National, to be National the authority should come from the National Government. I only suggest this thought leaving always your good judgement to decide.

Yours respectfully Chas. E. Barber Engraver

Hon. Daniel M. Fox Superintendent